



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/537,877	06/07/2005	Gillian Antoinette Minnagh-Kelleher	NL021259US	8406
24737	7590	01/30/2009		
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 3001			SHAH, SAMIR M	
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2856	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/30/2009	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS  
AND INTERFERENCES

---

*Ex parte* GILLIAN ANTOINETTE MIMNAGH-KELLEHER,  
PARASKEVAS DUNIAS,  
JOANNES GREGORIUS BREMER,  
ADRIANUS PETRUS JOHANNA MARIA ROMMERS,  
AND WILHELMUS LAMBERTUS MARINUS CORNELIUS  
VERHOEVEN

---

Application No. 10/537,877  
Technology Center 2856

---

Mailed: January 30, 2009

---

Before DELORES LOWE, *Review Team Paralegal*  
LOWE, *Review Team Paralegal*.

ORDER RETURNING UNDOCKETED APPEAL TO EXAMINER

This application was electronically received by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences on December 10, 2008. A review of the application revealed that it is not ready for docketing as an appeal. Accordingly, the application is herewith being returned to the Examiner to address the following matters requiring attention prior to docketing.

**APPEAL BRIEF, GROUNDS OF REJECTION**

A review of the file finds that the grounds of rejection of the claims as provided in the Appeal Brief filed March 3, 2008 under the heading “Grounds of rejection to be reviewed on appeal” is unclear and/or is not consistent with the grounds of rejection of claims of record. The grounds of rejection of the claims as provided in the Appeal Brief must be consistent with the last Office action of record, including any Advisory action responsive to any after final submissions. Each Grounds of rejection to be reviewed on appeal must be identified.

A review of the last Office action, including any mailed Advisory Action(s) finds that claims 26-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hutchings in view of Nikolic; whereas Appellants have either not indicated the grounds of rejection of these claims or has improperly listed these claims as 26 and 31 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) over Hutchings in view of Nicola. Correction of the Grounds of rejection to be reviewed on appeal for all claims is required.

**EXAMINER'S ANSWER, MISSING SIGNATURES**

An appeal conference is mandatory in all cases in which an acceptable appeal brief has been filed and a determination to move forward on appeal has been made. The participants of the appeal conference should include (1) the examiner charged with preparation of the examiner's answer, (2) a supervisory patent examiner (SPE), and (3) another examiner, known as a conferee, having sufficient experience to be of assistance in the consideration of the merits of the issues on appeal. On the examiner's answer, below the primary examiner's signature, the word "Conferees:" should be included, followed by the typed or printed names of the other two appeal conference participants. These two appeal conference participants must place their initials next to their name. The answer must also include the signature of a TC Director or designee to indicate that he or she approves any new grounds of rejection, if presented.

A review of the file finds that the examiner's answer is missing either at least one typed/ printed name of the appeal conference participants and/or is missing at least one initial or signature to make the record clear the appeal conference was held in accordance with MPEP § 1207.01. Therefore, correction is required.

**CONCLUSION**

Accordingly, it is **ORDERED** that the application is returned to the Examiner:

- 1) to hold the Appeal Brief filed on March 3, 2008 defective;
- 2) notify Appellants to file a paper properly addressing the Grounds of rejection of all claims;
- 3) to obtain the necessary conferee signatures and/or TC Director signature (if necessary); and
- 4) for such further action as may be appropriate.

If there are any questions pertaining to this Order, please contact the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences at 571-272-9797.

DAL\

PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS  
P.O. BOX 3001  
BRIARCLIFF MANOR NY 10510